

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,498	01/18/2001	Kevin P. Cowan	VI/00-012	6536
21140 75	590 09/11/2003			
GREGORY L BRADLEY MEDRAD INC ONE MEDRAD DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
INDIANOLA,	PA 15051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	1 /
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	· 11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ے ۔			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/765,498	COWAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew F DeSanto	3763	•			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 June 2003					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	•				
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undion of Claims			5			
· -	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
•,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5,11,14-31 and 34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)							
6)⊠	_						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	•				
-	ion Papers	·					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b)  c	lisapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	application No				
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the prop	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).			
	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language $\mathfrak p$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	* *					
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/765,498

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aasmul et al. (USPN 6,533,183).

Aasmul et al. discloses a length of material that can be used on syringes that consists of indicators that represent a code when a light beam (electromagnetic energy) is transmitted and reflected from the length of material (notches and grooves) therefore, providing information about the syringe. (Figures 1,2,3,4,5 and Paragraph [0002], [0003], [0011], [0041], and entire reference).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/765,498

Art Unit: 3763

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 32, 33, 35-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchins et al. (USPN 5,944,694) and further in view of Aasmul et al. as applied to claims 1-3, 6-10,12, 13, 32, 33, 35 37 above.

Hitchins et al. disclosed syringe for use with powered injector to inject fluid into a patient comprising a syringe with a plurality of indicators along a length of material of the syringe wall, wherein the syringe comprises a body, a plunger, a mounting flange, a drip flange, but fails to fully disclose the workings of how the indicator and the encoder reflect and refract the light beams to form the code that provides information about the syringe.

Aasmul et al. discloses a length of material that refracts and reflects light to provide information about the syringe. (Claims 1-19 and entire reference)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Hitchins et al. with Aasmul et al., because Aasmul et al. teaches that adding a length of material comprising notches and grooves and

Application/Control Number: 09/765,498

Art Unit: 3763

wherein the length of material allows light to be reflected and refracted; provides for a more stable and accurate way of coding information regarding the syringe, such as orientation and content. It is also well known in the art to add indicators to a syringe barrel. (See USPN 5,954,700)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hitchins et al. with Aasmul et al. to obtain the specified invention in claims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 32, 33, 35-49.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. propagate [special definition], and how the length of material works [how the indicators work through reflection and refraction]) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 8. The applicant argues that Aasmul et al. does not disclose a length of material that "propagated" light. The examiner interprets "propagated" as broadly as possible and thus is interpreting "propagated" as to transmit information and thus Aasmul et al. teaches a length of material (the bars) transmit information and thus read on the applicant's claims. If the applicant wishes to use a special definition for propagate, then the examiner would suggest that the applicant defines this definition in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,498

Art Unit: 3763

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Matthew DeSanto
Art Unit 3763

September 5, 2003

MICHAEL J. HAYES
FRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael / Hayes